

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BROOKE CARDOZA, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

BLOOMIN' BRANDS, INC.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:13-cv-01820-JAD-NJK

ORDER DENYING MOTION QUASH

(Docket No. 93)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to quash, Docket No. 93, arguing that they should not be required to comply with discovery requests propounded prior to the Rule 26(f) conference. It appears to the Court that the same issues are addressed in the parties' competing discovery plans, *see, e.g.*, Docket No. 90 at 6, 9, for which the Court has already scheduled a hearing for April 7, 2014, *see* Docket No. 92. Accordingly, the motion to quash is hereby DENIED as moot. To the extent any issues raised in the motion to quash are not resolved at the hearing regarding the discovery plan, Plaintiffs may renew their motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 3, 2014



 NANCY J. KOPPE
 United States Magistrate Judge